# Özgün Araştırma

**Original Article** 

#### DOI: 10.38136/jgon.1077812

Acceptability of covid-19 vaccine and factors affecting vaccine hesitation in pregnant health care workers Gebe sağlık çalışanlarında covid-19 aşısının kabul edilebilirliği ve aşı tereddütüne etkili faktörler

EDA OZDEN TOKALİOGLU 1 SULE GONCU AYHAN 1 DENİZ OLUKLU 1 MURADİYE YİLDİRİM 1 OZLEM MORALOGLU TEKİN 12 DİLEK SAHİN 12

- Orcid ID:0000-0003-4901-0544
- Orcid ID:0000-0002-5770-7555
- Orcid ID:0000-0002-9050-2041
- Orcid ID:0000-0002-6583-2153
- Orcid ID:0000-0001-8167-3837
- Orcid ID:0000-0001-8567-9048

<sup>1</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ministry of Health Ankara City Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ministry of Health Ankara City Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Ankara, Turkey

# ÖΖ

Amaç: Gebe sağlık çalışanlarında COVID-19 aşısının kabul edilebilirliğini ve aşı tereddütünün ana nedenlerini belirlemek.

Gereçler ve Yöntem: 135 gebe sağlık çalışanına, COVID-19 aşısına yönelik algı ve tutumları ile aşının reddedilme nedenleri hakkında yüz yüze sorular soruldu. Katılımcılar (1) aşı olmak isteyip istemedikleri, (2) COVID-19 birimlerinde aktif olarak çalışıp çalışmadıkları ve (3) doktor veya başka bir sağlık çalışanı olup olmadıklarına göre değerlendirildi.

**Bulgular**: Altrnış altı (%48.9) katılımcı, gebelere COVID-19 aşısı önerilmesi durumunda aşı olmak istediklerini belirtti. COVID-19 birimlerinde aktif çalışan doktor ve diğer sağlık personellerinin aşı olma eğilimlerinin daha fazla olduğunu gözlemledik. COVID-19 aşısının bebeğe zararlı olacağı düşüncesi, diğer sağlık çalışanları grubunda doktorlara göre anlamlı derecede yüksekti.

Sonuç :Bu çalışma, gebe sağlık çalışanları arasında aşı kabul oranının, sağlık çalışanı olmayan gebelere göre daha yüksek olsa bile, COVID-19 hastalığının "sürü bağışıklığı" için gereken oranın altında kaldığını ortaya koymuştur. Gebe kadınlarda aşının güvenliğine ilişkin veri eksikliği, aşı olma isteğinin önündeki en önemli engeldir. Gebelerin COVID-19 aşılarının faz-3 çalışmalarına dahil edilmesiyle bu engel ortadan kaldırılabilir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: COVID-19, sağlık çalışanları, gebelik, aşı kabul edilebilirliği, aşı tereddütü

# ABSTRACT

**Aim:** To determine the acceptability of the corona-virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine and the main reasons for vaccine hesitation in pregnant health care workers (HCWs).

Materials and Methods: 135 pregnant HCWs were questioned face-to-face about perception and attitudes towards the COVID-19 vaccine and reasons for rejection of the vaccine. Participants were evaluated according to:(1) whether they wanted to be vaccinated, (2) whether they were actively working in COVID-19 units, and (3) whether they were a doctor or other healthcare professional.

**Results:** Sixty-six (48.9%) participants stated that they want to be vaccinated if the COVID-19 vaccine is recommended to pregnant women.We observed that active staff in COVID-19 units and doctors had more intention to be vaccinated.The thought that the COVID-19 vaccine would be harmful to the baby was significantly higher in other HCWs group than doctors.

**Conclusion:** The present study revealed that even if the rate of vaccine acceptance among pregnant HCWs was higher than pregnant non-HCWs, it remained below the rate required for "herd immunity" for COVID-19 disease. Lack of data on the safety of the vaccine in pregnant women is the most important obstacle. We can overcome obstacles only by including pregnant women in phase 3 studies of CO-VID-19 vaccines.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, health care workers, pregnancy, vaccine acceptance, vaccine hesitation

SorumIu Yazar/ Corresponding Author: Eda Ozden Tokalioglu Adres: Departmant of Obstetrics and Gynecology Ministry of Health Ankara City Hospital, Ankara, Turkey E-mail: dredaozdentokalioglu@gmail.com Başvuru tarihi : 24.02.2022 Kabul tarihi : 05.07.2022 Considering the worldwide impact of the Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2 on social, economic, health and educational issues, there was an urgent need for therapeutic drugs and vaccines. Although effective antiviral therapy has not been found yet, effective and safe vaccines began to be administered in less than 1 year.

Mechanical, physiological and immunological changes of pregnancy may increase susceptibility to some infections. COVID-19 causes more intensive care admission, mechanical ventilation and death in pregnant women compared to their counterparts in reproductive age (1-3). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM) have included pregnancy as a risk factor for severe COVID-19, albeit the absolute risk for severe infection in pregnancy is low (4). For this reason, it is extra important to protect pregnant women from the hazardous effects of COVID-19 before they are exposed to the virus. It is necessary to include pregnanat women in vaccination studies and to include them in routine vaccination programs.

There are six leading vaccine candidates for COVID-19. Two of them are messenger RNA-based, two are viral vector-based and two are recombinant protein-based vaccines. The six vaccine candidates use different technologies. Most vaccines work by introducing an antigen into the body to produce an immune response. The antigen can be an infectious agent that has been inactivated or a purified protein from the infectious agent. In contrast, the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines work by carrying the genetic information necessary to manufacture the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, the protein found on the surface of the virus. Once the vaccine is injected into muscle cells, they manufacture the spike protein, which is recognized by the immune system.

Pregnant women have traditionally been excluded from clinical trials of new drugs and vaccines due to concerns about their effects on the fetus. To date, none of the COVID-19 vaccines have been tested regarding the safety, immunogenicity, reactogenicity and efficacy of the vaccine on pregnant women and fetuses. This situation leads to a lack of knowledge about the effects of the vaccine on pregnancy and fetus, leading to mistrust and even rejection of the vaccine in pregnant women (5).

ACOG and SMFM suggested that COVID-19 vaccines should not be withheld from pregnant or breastfeeding women (6-7). Also CDC and the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommended that pregnant health care workers (HCWs) are in the priority group to be vaccinated (8). The role of HCWs in vaccination is to provide information and advice for the public, as well as to be a role model to the society with their attitude towards vaccination. Pregnant HCWs are one of the groups that work actively in the field and have the highest risk of transmission. However, there is no study on the acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine among this group in the literature yet. In the present study, we aimed to determine the acceptability of the vaccine and the main reasons for vaccine hesitation in pregnant HCWs who have more knowledge and experience about vaccination, the COVID-19 disease and easy access to scientific literature.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This prospective study was conducted between February 1, 2021 and April 1, 2021 with pregnant healthcare workers who were working in Ankara City Hospital. At the time of the study, healthcare professionals were entitled to COVID-19 vaccination and we conducted the study with HCWs who were not vaccinated. The questionnaires were applied face-to-face. The study was approved by the Turkish Ministry of Health and Ankara City Hospital Ethics Committee (E2-21-49). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. In the questionnaire, demographic characteristics and vaccination history, perception and attitudes about COVID-19, knowledge about the COVID-19 vaccine, reasons for rejection of the vaccine were questioned.

Participants were evaluated according to: 1) whether they wanted to be vaccinated, 2) whether they were actively working in COVID-19 units, and 3) whether they were a doctor or other healthcare professional.

Statistical analyses were performed by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Visual (histograms, probability plots) and analytical methods (Shapiro-Wilk's test and Kolmogorov-Smirnow test) were used in order to determine the normality of distribution. Chi-square ( $\chi$ 2) test was used for comparison of categorical data. A type-1 error below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# RESULTS

135 pregnant women who completed the questionnaire were included in our study. Descriptive characteristics of the patients are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of the participants

Variables		(n =135) N (%), Mean ± SD, Median (min-max)				
Age		31.2 ± 4.9				
Gravidity		2 (1-6)				
Parity		1 (0-3)				
Gestational week		24 (5-40)				
Number of household n	nembers	3 (2-5)				
Number of school kids		0 (0-2)				
Number of pregnant we	omen with comorbidity	0 (0-2)				
Number of > 65-years-o	old household members	0 (0-1)				
Income per month (Tur	kish Lira)	10772.6 ± 4848.3				
High-risk pregnancy		28 (%20.7)				
Number of active work	ers in COVID-19 units	52 (%38.5)				
<b>Recover from COVID-</b>	19 in the past	19 (%14.1)				
Taking medication for	COVID-19 previously	8 (% 5.9)				
Hospitalized for COVI	D-19 previously	7 (%5.2)				
Having a COVID-19 an	tibody test	48 (%35.6)				
COVID-19 antibody tit	er	4.1 ± 3.8				
Maternal education	High school	2 (%1.5)				
status	University graduates	133 (%98.5)				
	Doctor	53 (%39.3)				
Career	Nurse - Midwife	62 (%45.9)				
Carter	rapist	13 (%9.6)				
	Medical secretary	7 (%5.2)				
	Government official	120 (%89)				
Spouse career	Private sector	6 (%4.4)				
Spouse career	Merchant	6 (%4.4)				
	Worker	3 (%2.2)				

. Fifty-three (39.3%) of the respondents were doctors. Fifty-two (38.5%) of the participants were actively working in COVID-19 units.

The answers of the participants to the questionnaire are given in Table 2.

**Table 2:** Comparison of the answers of the pregnant women who want to vaccinate and do not want to vaccinate, who work and do not work actively in COVID-19 units, and doctors and other healthcare professionals

Questions	Answers	Want to vaccinate n: 66 (% 48.9)	Do not want to vaccinate n:69 (% 51.1)	p va- lue*	Work actively in CO- VID-19 units n:52 (%38.5)	Do not work actively in CO- VID-19 units n:83	p va- lue*	Doctor n:53 (%39.3)	Other health-care professi- nal n:82 (%60.7)	p va- lue*
	Voc	66	67		52	81		53	80	
Have you	163	(%100)	(%97.1)	.497	(%100)	(%97.6)		(%100)	(%97.6)	500
ever been vaccinated?	No		2 (%2.9)			(%2.4)	.523		2 (%2.4)	.520
Have you	Yes	50	55		42	63		47	58	
been vacci-	100	(%75.8)	(%79.7)	F01	(%80.8)	<u>(%75.9)</u> 20		(%88.7)	(%70.7)	014
nated in the last 5 years?	No	16 (%24.2)	14 (%20 .3)	.581	10 (%19.2)	(%24.1)	.508	6 (%11.3)	24 (%29.3)	.014
Was the	Ves	13	5		10	8		17	1	
influenza		(%19.7)	(%7.2)		(%19.2)	(%9.6)	-	(%32.1)	(%1.2)	
vaccine rec- ommended in this preg-	No	53 (%80.3)	64 (%92.8)	.033	42 (%80.8)	75 (%90.4)	.111	36 (%67.9)	81 (%98.8)	.001
lf influenza	Vos	33	11		18	26		26	18	
vaccine was	103	(%50)	(%15.9)		(%34.6)	(%31.3)	-	(%49.1)	(%22)	
recommend- ed in this pregnancy, would you get vaccinat- ed ?	No	33 (%50)	58 (%84.1)	.001	34 (%65.4)	57 (%68.7)	.691	27 (%50.9)	64 (%78)	.001
Have you	Yes	7	3		5	5		9	1	
been vacci- nated for in- fluenza in this pregnancy?	No	(%10.6) 59 (%89.4)	(%4.3) 66 (%95.7)	.201	(%9.6) 47 (%90.4)	(%6) 78 (%94)	.508	(%17) 44 (%83)	(%1.2) 81 (%98.8)	.001
If tetanus	Yes	45	44		35	54		37	52	
vaccine was		(%68.2)	(%63.8)		(%67.3)	(%65.1)	-	(%69.6)	(%63.4)	
ed in this pregnancy, would you get vaccinat- ed ?	No	21 (%31.8)	25 (%36.2)	.589	17 (%32.7)	29 (%34.9)	.789	16 (%30.2)	30 (%36.6)	.444
Have you	Yes	28	29		22	35		25	32	
been vac-	<u> </u>	(%42.4)	(%42)		(%42.3)	(%42.2)	1	(%47.2)	(%39)	
tetanus vac-		38	40	.963	30	48	.987	29	50	.349
cine in this	No	(%57.6)	(%58)		(%57.7)	(%57.8)		(%52.8)	(%61)	
pregnancy?										
Are you going	Yes	66	67		52	81		52	80	
to have the		(%100)	(%97.1)	407	(%100)	(%97.6) 2	522	(%100)	(%97.6)	530
baby's rou-	No		2	.497		(%2.4)	.523		2	.520
tions?			(%2.9)			. ,			(%2.4)	

# work

1390

Do you work	Yes	34	18		52			28	24 (%29.3)	
actively in		(%51.5)	(%26.1)		(%100)			(%52.8)	21(/025.5)	
COVID-19										
units (out-						83				
patient clinic	No	32	51	,002		(%100)		25	58 (%70 7)	,006
/ service or	NO	(%48.5)	(%73.9)			(%100)		(%47.2)	58 (%/0.7)	
intensive										
care unit) ?										
Have you	Vac	27	25		32	20		28	25	
had close	ies	(%40.9)	(%36.2)	-	(%61.5)	(%24.1)		(%52.8)	(%47.2)	
contact with						63				
a COVID-19	No	39	44	.5//	20	(%75.0)	.001	25	58	.006
positive		(%59.1)	(%63.8)		(%38.5)	(7075.5)		(%47.2)	(%70.7)	
person?										
If medicine	Yes	47	35		29	53		33	49	
to treat		(%71.2)	(%50.7)		(%55.8)	(%63.9)		(%62.3)	(%59.8)	-
COVID-19 is				015		30	3/10			771
found, would	No	19	34	,015	23	(%36.1)	.545	20	33	.,,1
you get vacci-		(%28.8)	(%49.3)		(%44.2)			(%37.7)	(%40.2)	
nated?						47			40	
Do you think	Yes	4/	32		32	4/		30	49	
the pandemic		(%71.2)	(%46.4)		(%61.5)	(%56.6) 36		(%56.6)	(%59.8)	
will end with	No	19	37	.003	20	(0/ 42 4)	.573	23	33	.717
the COVID-19	NO	(%28.8)	(%53.6)		(%38.5)	(%45.4)		(%43.4)	(%40.2)	
vaccine?		60	51		45	66		45	66	
gest that	Yes	(%90.9)	(%73.9)		(%86.5)	(%79.5)		(%84.9)	(%80.5)	
your family		(7050.5)	(/0/3.2)		(7000.5)	(7075.5)		(////	(7080.57	
your lamity		6	18	.010	7	17 (%20	.299	8	16	.512
members get	No	(%9.1)	(%26.1)		(%13.5)	.5)		(%15.1)	(%19.5)	
the COVID-19		(705.1)	(/020.1)		(7013.3)			(/013.1)	(/015.5)	
Vaccine?		8	11		11	8		8	11	
	Yes	(%12.1)	(%15.9)		(%21.2)	(%9.6)		(%15.1)	(%13.4)	
COVID-19 IN		58	58	.523	41	75	.061	45	71	.784
this pregnan-	No	(%87.9)	(%8/ 1)		(%78.8)	(%90.4)			(%86.6)	
cy?		(7007.5)	(/004.1)		(/0/ 0.0)	25		(7004.5)	(7880.0)	
Do you	Yes	(%28.8)	(%20.4)		(%28.8)	23 (%20.1)		10(24)	1%26 9)	
have fear of		47	40	.834	27	58	.875	25	(7020.0)	.375
death due to	No	4/	48		3/	(%69.9)		35		
COVID-19?		(%/1.2)	(%69.6)		(%/1.2)			(%66)	(%/3.2)	
Do you feel	Yes	33	22		31	24		24	31	
any physical		(%50)	(%31.9)		(%59.6)	(%28.9)		(%45.3)	(%37.8)	-
symptoms										
when you										
think you				.032		59	.001			.388
infected by	No	33	4/		21	(%71.1)		29	51	
SARS-COV-2?		(%50)	(%68.1)		(%40.4)			(%54.7)	(%62.2)	
(palpitations,										
sweating,										
etc.)		20	20		24	26		27	22	
Do you	Yes	30	20			20		2/	23	
think you		(%45.5)	(7029)	1	(7040.2)	<u>(∞31.3)</u>		<u>(%50.9)</u>	(7028)	
nave been										
adequately		36	19	.048	28	57	.083	26	59	.007
informed	No	30	49		20	(%68.7)		20	59	
about the		(%54.5)	(%/1)		(%53.8)			(%19./)	(%/2)	
COVID-19										
vaccine?		24	52		28	18		25	51	
	Yes	(0/2C A)			(9/52.0)	40		(0/ 47 2)	(0(62.2)	
		(%30.4)	(70/5.4)	001	(%53.8)	(%57.8)	650	(7047.2)	(%02.2)	000
vaccine could	No	42	17	.001	24	35	.650	28	31	.086
be harmful		(%63.6)	(%24.6)		(%46.2)	(%42.2)		(%52.8)	(%37.8)	
tor you?										

Do you think	Nee	29	60		32	57		27	62	
COVID-19	res	(%43.9)	(%87)		(%61.5)	(%68.7)		(%50.9)	(%75.6)	
vaccine could be harmful for your fetus/baby?	No	37 (%56.1)	9 (%13)	.001	20 (%38.5)	26 (%31.3)	.395	26 (%49.1)	20 (%24.4)	.003
Has the tri- mester (the	Yes	48 (%72.7)	41 (%59.4)		38 (%73.1)	51 (%61.4)		36 (%67.9)	53 (%64.6)	
week) of your pregnancy affected your decision to be vaccina- ted?	No	18 (%27.3)	28 (%40.6)	.146	14 (%26.9)	32 (%38.6)	.165	17 (%32.1)	29 (%35.4)	.694
If the Minis- try of Health	Yes	66 (%100)			34 (%65.4)	32 (%38.6)		34 (%64.2)	32 (%39)	
of Turkey recommend that pregnant women be vaccinated, will you be vaccinated?	No		69 (%100)		18 (%34.6)	51 (%61.4)	.002	19 (%35.8)	50 (%61)	.004

Sixty-six (48.9%) participants stated that they want to be vaccinated if the COVID-19 vaccine is recommended to pregnant women. We observed that active staff in COVID-19 units had more intention to be vaccinated than staff in non-COVID-19 units (p<0.05). Doctors stated that they were more interested in getting the COVID-19 vaccine than other healthcare professionals (p<0.05). In addition, when other HCWs and doctors were compared; the thought that the COVID-19 vaccine would harm to the baby was significantly higher in other HCWs group (p < 0.05). We did not find a significant difference in the effect of pregnancy trimester on the decision of the COVID-19 vaccination (p = 0.146).

The reasons of COVID-19 vaccine refusal or hesitancy for pregnant HCWs are presented in Table 3.

 Table 3: Reasons of COVID-19 vaccine refusal or hesitancy for healthcare worker pregnant women

Questions	Answers	Do not want to vaccinate n:69 (% 51.1)	Work actively in COVID-19 units n:18 (%26)	Do not work actively in COVID-19 units n:51 (%74)	p value*	<b>Doctor</b> n:19 (%28)	Other healt- h-care pro- fessinal n:50 (%72)	p value*
Fear of injec- tion	Yes No	1 (%1.4) 68 (%98.6)	18 (%100)	1 (%2) 50 (%98)	.999	19 (%100)	1 (%2) 49 (%98)	.999
The vaccine is harmful to the my body	Yes No	1 (%1.4) 68 (%98.6)	18 (%100)	1 (%2) 50 (%98)	.999	1 (%5.3) 18 (%94.7)	50 (%100)	.275
The vaccine will cause COVID-19	Yes No	1 (%1.4) 68 (%98.6)	18 (%100)	1 (%2) 50 (%98)	.999	1 (%5.3) 18 (%94.7)	50 (%100)	.275
The vaccine is harmful to my fetus/baby	Yes No	41 (%59.4) 28 (%40.6)	10 (%55.6) 8 (%44.4)	(%60.8) 20 (%39.2)	.698	7 (%36.8) 12 (%63.2)	34 (%68) 16 (%32)	.018
COVID-19 is not a serious illness	Yes No	6 (%8.7) 63 (%91.3)	18 (%100)	6 (%11.8) 45 (%88.2)	.328	3 (%15.8) 16 (%84.2)	3 (%6) 47 (%94)	.336

My risk of get-	Yes	2		2		2		
ting COVID-19		<u>(%2.9)</u> 67	18	(%3.9) 49	.999	<u>(%10.5)</u> 17	50	.073
is low	No	(%97.1)	(%100)	(%96.1)		(%89.5)	(%100)	
I believe that	Voc	5 '	1 '	4 '		2 /	3 '	
even if I am	165	(%7.2)	(%5.6)	(%7.8)		(%10.5)	(%6)	
sick, I and my								
baby will not		64	<i></i>	47	.999	17	47	.611
encounter any	No	(%92.8)	17 (%94.4)	(%92.2)		(%89.5)	(%94)	
adverse out-								
comes				-		_	10	
I do not think	Yes	15 (%21.7)	6	9		5	10	
the vaccine		54	(%33.3)	(%17.6) 42	.192	<u>(%26.3)</u> 14	<u>(%20)</u> 40	.745
will work	No	(%78.3)	12 (%66.7)	(%82.4)		(%73.7)	(%80)	
My spouse/	Yes	1		1			1	
other family		(%1.4)		(%2)			(%2)	
members do		68	18	50	.999	19	49	.999
not want me	No	(%98.6)	(%100)	(%98)		(%100)	(%98)	
to vaccinate		. ,	· · ·			10		
inere is	Yes	63 (%91.3)	17 (%94.4)	46		19	44	
not enougn				(%90.2)		(%100)	(%88)	
knowl-								
edge about		6	1	5	.999		6	.177
COVID-19	No	(% 9 7)	10(5 6)	ر (% م ۵)			(%12)	
vaccine safety		(700.7)	(703.0)	(705.8)			(/012)	
in pregnant								
women I think the			9	14		4	19	
vaccine is	Yes	23 (%33.3)	- (%50)	(%27.5)		(%21.1)	(%38)	
not effective			(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(/ <b>2</b> / 1 <b>2</b> /			(//////////////////////////////////////	
hecause of		46	9	37	.081	15	31	.182
mutation of	No	(%66.7)	(%50)	(%72.5)		(%78.9)	(%62)	
the virus								

The three most important reasons for vaccine refusal or hesitancy are a lack of knowledge regarding the safety of the vaccine in pregnant women; the thought that the vaccine is harmful to the fetus/baby and the belief that the vaccine was ineffective due to the mutation of the virus respectively.

# DISCUSSION

The present study evaluated the rate of acceptability of the CO-VID-19 vaccine and factors affecting vaccine refusal in pregnant HCWs. Knowing the vaccine acceptability rate is important in terms of improving a health policy and planning interventions to increase the vaccination rate.

Since the announcement of the vaccine studies, many studies have been performed on the perception and acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine. Many of these studies have been conducted with the general population (9-11). However, the number of studies we can find in the literature on the vaccination attitude of pregnant women is very limited (12,13). And, we could not

find any studies about pregnant HCWs. Revealing the vaccination acceptance of pregnant HCWs, who are among the highest risk groups in terms of exposure and infection to COVID-19, will shed light on the general vaccination acceptance of the society. In addition, when HCWs become infected, they will be a source for hospital-acquired spread and pose a risk to patients and other HCWs. In addition, vaccine hesitancy in this group will lead to loss of workforce during the pandemic when healthcare workforce is most needed.

In the present study, the vaccine acceptance rate was 48.9% among HCWs. Goncu Ayhan et al. found the vaccine acceptance rate was %37 in pregnant women who were not HCWs (13). Compared to this study, the expected rise in vaccine acceptance in HCWs could be due to the higher knowledge of HCWs about COVID-19 vaccines. We think that it makes the main difference that healthcare professionals, who are the occupational group with the highest exposure to the virus, search for information about the efficacy and safety of vaccines in order to protect themselves and to give advice to the general public.

1392

In another study conducted with pregnant women from 16 countries, the vaccine acceptance rate was found 52%. However, in this study expressions such as "If the vaccine has an efficacy of 90%, if the COVID-19 vaccine is safe for you and your baby" were used in the question format (12). We can conclude that the vaccine acceptance rates will automatically increase when the pregnant woman is reassured about the efficacy and safety of the vaccine in the pregnancy.

In the group of those who do not want to be vaccinated, the three most important reasons for vaccine refusal were: 1) a lack of information that the vaccine is safe in pregnant women (91.3%), 2) the thought that it could be harmful to the baby (59.4%), 3) the belief that the vaccine is not effective because the virus is mutated (33.3%). These reasons are consistent with the vaccine hesitation reasons in the study by Goncu Ayhan et al. (13). Even though in the present study pregnant women are HCWs, their concerns about vaccination are similar to pregnant women who are not HCWs, probably due to their maternal instinct (14). Our study population is more experienced and educated about both the disease and the COVID-19 vaccine. However, the similarity of vaccine refusal reasons in the two studies may suggest that maternal emotional status is quite important in vaccine acceptance.

In the vaccine acceptance group, women declared that 1) they wanted to be vaccinated even if medicine would be found to treat COVID-19, 2) they believe that effective vaccination would end the pandemic. This result shows that the group that wants to vaccinate has more information about immunization with the vaccine. Passive immunization is more effective, healthier and more cost-effective than active immunization. [15] The most needed professional group during the pandemic period is HCWs. Passive immunization of HCWs is also important not to cause loss of workforce (15).

In the vaccine refusal group, while the rate of pregnant women who thought that the vaccine would be harmful to themselves was 1.4%, the rate of those who thought that the vaccine would be harmful to their baby is 59.4%. This reveals that the main source of concern and priority of pregnant women about vaccine hesitation is the health of their babies. The only way to overcome this hesitation seems to be including pregnant women in vaccination studies. Preliminary findings on mRNA COVID-19 vaccine safety in pregnant women have been published very recently (16). This study reported that all of the adverse pregnancy and neonatal outcomes including congenital anomaly were consistent with the normal frequency seen in the literature (16) . Although this study facilitates pregnant women and their health care providers to decide about the COVID-19 vaccination, these are the preliminary findings of the study. There is still a need for the final results of the study and an increase in the sample size of pregnant women.

The opinion that the vaccine is harmful to the baby was significantly lower in doctors compared to other healthcare professionals (p<0.05). Doctors had a higher intention than other HCWs to be vaccinated if health authorities recommend vaccination to pregnant women (p<0.05). The doctors stated that they have enough knowledge about the vaccine significantly more than other pregnant HCWs (p<0.05). The study of Dror et al. supports our results, showing that the acceptability of the COVID-19 vaccine is significantly higher for doctors than for nurses (17). The reason may be that doctors use literature more often than other HCWs in their daily practice. Therefore, doctors may have more accurate and up-to-date knowledge about the COVID-19 vaccine.

The staff in COVID-19 units had significantly more intent to be vaccinated compared to those in non-COVID-19 department (p<0.05). They showed a higher rate of feeling the physical symptoms of anxiety when they think they are infected with SARS-CoV-2 than the staff in non-COVID-19 department (p<0.05). The healthcare staff working in the COVID-19 unit are more likely to understand the severity of the disease, as they see more intubation, end-stage patients and deaths from COVID-19. Therefore, it was an expected result that vaccine acceptance was higher than those working in departments not related to COVID-19.

In responce to the guestion of "if influenza vaccine was recommended in present pregnancy, would you get vaccinated?", the rate of having it done in the vaccine acceptance group also was significantly higher than the vaccine refusal group (p<0.05). However, when tetanus toxoid administration was compared between the two groups, no significant difference was observed between them. In addition, when the pregnant women were asked whether they would have the routine vaccinations of the baby, it was observed that everyone except 2 patients (%2.9) in the vaccine rejection group would. The reason for such a high rate (100% in vaccine acceptance group, 97.1 in vaccine rejection group) was probably that the routine vaccination of the baby and administration of tetanus toxoid is supported by the government health policy and patients can access these vaccines from health centers and family physicians without going to the hospital. This result showed that the vaccination strategy is enormously successful when they are approved as a health policy and supported by national health authorities.

The strengths of our study are its novelty, shedding light on a current issue, being conducted with a group that has not been studied before with understandable questions, and its prospective design.

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, although the rate of vaccine acceptance among HCWs pregnant women was higher than non-HCWs pregnant women, it remained below the rate required for "herd immunity" for COVID-19 disease. To date, it is the first study evaluating the perception and attitudes of pregnant women who are healthcare workers to the COVID-19 vaccine. Lack of data on the safety of the vaccine in pregnant women and the thought that it will harm to the baby appear to be the two most important obstacles to vaccination acceptance even if they are health care providers. We can overcome these obstacles only by including pregnant women in phase 3 studies of vaccines as soon as possible. In the next stage, all pregnant women, including healthcare professionals, should be educated to ensure confidence in the safety and efficacy of the vaccine and developed vaccine strategies should be made a health policy.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is related to healthcare professionals who continue to work hard during the COVID-19 pandemic, despite being pregnant and take the risk of the disease not only for themselves but also for the baby. The authors give special thanks to all pregnant health care workers working with great devotion for the health of the community.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. DeBolt CA, Bianco A, Limaye MA, Silverstein J, Penfield CA, Roman AS, et al. Pregnant women with severe or critical coronavirus disease 2019 have increased composite morbidity compared with nonpregnant matched controls. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2021, 224.5: 510.

2. Pierce-Williams RA, Burd J, Felder L, Khoury R, Bernstein PS, Avila K, et al. Clinical course of severe and critical coronavirus disease 2019 in hospitalized pregnancies: a United States cohort study. American journal of obstetrics & gyneco-

## logy MFM. 2020;2:100134.

3. Sahin D, Tanacan A, Erol SA, Anuk AT, Yetiskin FD, Keskin HL, et al. Updated experience of a tertiary pandemic center on 533 pregnant women with COVIDI19 infection: A prospective cohort study from Turkey. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2021;152:328-34.

4. Stafford IA, Parchem JG, Sibai BM. The coronavirus disease 2019 vaccine in pregnancy: risks, benefits, and recommendations. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2021.

5. Beigi RH, Krubiner C, Jamieson DJ, Lyerly AD, Hughes B, Riley L, et al. The need for inclusion of pregnant women in COVID-19 vaccine trials. Vaccine. 2021;39:868.

6. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Vaccinating pregnant and lactating patients against COVID-19: practice advisory—December 2020.

https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/practice-advisory/articles/2020/12/vaccinating-pregnant-and-lactating-patientsagainst- covid-19. Accessed December 13, 2020.

7. Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine. Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM) statement: SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in pregnancy. https://www.smfm.org/publica-tions/339-society-for-maternal-fetal-medicine-smfmstate-ment-sars-cov-2-vaccination-in-pregnancy. Accessed December 13, 2020.

8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CO-VID-19 Vaccination Considerations for People who are Pregnant or Breastfeeding. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/ vaccines/recommendations/pregnancy.html. Accessed January 13, 2021.

9. Malik AA, McFadden SM, Elharake J, Omer SB. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the US. EClinicalMedicine. 2020;26:100495.

10. Fisher KA, Bloomstone SJ, Walder J, Crawford S, Fouayzi H, Mazor KM. Attitudes toward a potential SARS-CoV-2 vaccine: a survey of US adults. Annals of internal medicine. 2020;173:964-73.

11. Paul E, Steptoe A, Fancourt D. Attitudes towards vaccines and intention to vaccinate against COVID-19: Implications for public health communications. The Lancet Regional Health-Europe. 2021;1:100012.

12. Skjefte M, Ngirbabul M, Akeju O, Escudero D, Hernandez-Diaz S, Wyszynski DF, et al. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among pregnant women and mothers of young children: results of a survey in 16 countries. European journal of epidemiology. 2021;36:197-211.

13. Goncu Ayhan S, Oluklu D, Atalay A, Menekse Beser D, Tanacan A, Moraloglu Tekin O, et al. COVID019 vaccine acceptance in pregnant women. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics.

14. Singh S, Nair VG, Singh VV, Tiwari S, Arora D, Dey M, et al. Pregnancy-Specific Concerns and Psychological Impact of COVID-19 on Antenatal Women. Gynecology Obstetrics & Reproductive Medicine. 2021:1-6.

15. Özceylan G, Toprak D, Esen ES. Vaccine rejection and hesitation in Turkey. Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics. 2020;16:1034-9.

16. Shimabukuro TT, Kim SY, Myers TR, Moro PL, Oduyebo T, Panagiotakopoulos L, et al. Preliminary findings of mrna covid-19 vaccine safety in pregnant persons. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021.

17. Dror AA, Eisenbach N, Taiber S, Morozov NG, Mizrachi M, Zigron A, et al. Vaccine hesitancy: the next challenge in the fight against COVID-19. European journal of epidemiology. 2020;35:775-9.